TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 5

Presented to

The Office of Naval Research

Project Number NR 057-233

Contract Number 03700

under the direction of Edward S. Amis

entitled

COULOMB'S LAW AND THE QUANTITATIVE INTERPRETATION OF REACTION RATES, III

bу

Edward S. Amis

UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS

Department of Chemistry

October 27, 1953

Fayetteville, Arkansas

COULOMB'S LAW AND THE QUANTITATIVE INTERPRETATION OF REACTION RATES, III

bу

Edward S. Amis

ABSTRACT

In the derivation of equations for the effect of the dielectric constant of the medium upon reaction rates the use of Coulombic energy rather than Coulombic free energy as obtained from the electrostatic force equation is justified thermodynamically in constant dielectric constant media.

The nature of the electrostatic effect with respect to whether the effect was favorable or unfavorable to the rate process is discussed for certain selected conditions and reactant types.

The integration of the force equation

$$F = - \int_{0}^{\mathbf{r}} f d\mathbf{r}$$

gives free energy, F. Amis (1,2,3) has considered the

equation to give energy when the force, f, who used as Coulomb's force involving ions and ions, ions and

^{1.} Amis, Edward S., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 63, 1606 (1941)

^{2.} Amis, Edward S., J. Chem. Educ., 29, 337 (1952)

^{3.} Amis, Edward S., 1bid., 30, 351 (1953)

dipoles or dipoles and dipoles when reacting in media of constant dielectric constant.

This paper proposes to show that in media of constant dielectric constant, the change of Coulombic free energy with dielectric constant is equivalent to change of Coulombic energy with change in dielectric constant. Thus the derivations of the rate equations was correctly interpreted as being based on Coulombic energies.

Goulombic free energy F_c , for bringing an ion of charge $Z_1\in$ from the distance r of an ion of charge $Z_2\in$, is found by inserting in the integral

$$\mathbf{F_c} = -\frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{f} d\mathbf{r} \tag{1}$$

the Coulombic expression for the force f between the ions, namely,

$$\mathbf{f} = \frac{z_1 \cdot z_2 \cdot \mathbf{f}}{\mathbf{p_r}^2} \tag{2}$$

and integrating the resulting equation thus

$$\mathbf{F} = -\frac{z_1 \in z_2 \in}{D} \int_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{r}} \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r}^2} = \frac{z_1 \in z_2 \in}{D\mathbf{r}}$$
(3)

The difference in Coulombic free energy for performing the above operation on a mole of ions in a medium of dielectric constant D_2 as compared to operating in a medium of dielectric constant D_1 is given by the equation

$$\Delta F_{e} = \frac{N Z_{1} Z_{2} \varepsilon^{2}}{r} \left(\frac{1}{D_{2}} - \frac{1}{D_{1}} \right)$$
(4)

where N is Avagadro's number.

The free energy change ΔF_D for a process involving the ions in a medium of dielectric constant D_2 is equal to the free enerty change ΔF_{D_1} for the process in

medium of dielectric constant D_1 plus the change in Coulombic free energy ΔF_c in transferring the process from medium 1 to medium 2, thus

$$\Delta F_{D_1} = \Delta F_{D_2} + \Delta F_c \tag{5}$$

and a like expression holds for the other thermodynamic functions, for instance

$$\Delta s_{D_2} = \Delta s_{D_1} + \Delta s_c \tag{6}$$

und

$$\Delta E_{D_2} = i \Delta E_{D_1} + \Delta E_{c}$$
 (7)

Now

$$\Delta \mathbf{F} = \Lambda \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{T} \Delta \mathbf{S} \tag{8}$$

and for a process in solution where the change of volume is negligable

$$\Delta H = \Delta E + p\Delta V = \Delta E \tag{9}$$

Therefore in solution

$$\Delta F = \Delta E - T\Delta S \tag{10}$$

We have from Eq. (5) and (10)

$$\Delta E_{D_2} - T\Delta S_{D_2} = \Delta E_{D_1} - T\Delta S_{D_1} + \Delta E_c - T\Delta S_c \quad (11)$$

But for chemical rate processes carried out in solvents of constant dielectric constant we have (4) for the change

4. LaMen, Vieter K., J. Franklin Inst., 225, 709 (1938).

of entropy $\Delta S_{\underline{c}}$ due to Coulombic effects

$$AS_{c} = \frac{\Delta F_{c}}{T} \sqrt{\frac{\ln D}{\ln T}} = 0$$
 (12)

and therefore from Eq. (6)

$$T \Delta s_{D_2} = T \Delta s_{D_1} \tag{13}$$

Thus from Eq. (11), (12), and (13)

$$\Delta E_{D_2} = \Delta E_{D_1^{+}} \Delta E_{\mathbf{c}}. \tag{14}$$

also from Eq. (10) and (12)

$$\Delta F_{c} = \Delta E_{c} - T\Delta S_{c} = \Delta E_{c}.$$
 (15)

and using Eq. (14) and (15)

$$\Delta E_{\mathbf{c}} = \frac{NZ_{1}Z_{2}\epsilon^{2}}{\mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{1}{\overline{D}_{2}} - \frac{1}{\overline{D}_{1}} \right)$$
 (16)

In the case of ionic reactants in solution then

$$k'_{D_2} = Z e - \underline{\Delta^{E_{D_2}}}$$
(17)

and

$$\log k'_{D_2} = \log z - \frac{\Delta E_{D_2}}{2.303 \text{ RT}}$$

$$= \log z - \frac{\Delta E_{D_1}}{2.303 \text{ RT}} - \frac{\Delta E_{c}}{2.503 \text{ RT}}$$
(18)

But

$$\log k'_{D_1} = \log Z - \frac{\Delta E_{D_1}}{2.303 \text{ RT}}$$
 (19)

therefore

$$\log k'_{D_2} = \log k'_{D_1} - \frac{\triangle E_c}{2.3C3 \text{ RT}}$$
 (20)

Substituting the expression for ΔE_c from Eq. (16) into Eq. (20), we have

$$\log k'_{D_2} = \log k'_{D_1} - \frac{N Z_1 Z_2 \epsilon^2}{2.303 \text{ RTr}} \left(\frac{1}{D_2} - \frac{1}{D_1} \right) (21)$$

and if dielectric constant D_2 is taken as a reference dielectric constant with a value of infinity and if D_1 is taken as any dielectric constant D_1 , we have from Eq. (21) and remembering that N/R = 1/k

$$\log k'_{D=D} = \log k'_{D=0} + \frac{z_1 z_2 e^2}{2.303 \text{ kTrD}}$$
 (22)

as was given by Amis (5).

^{5.} Amis, Edward S., J. Chem. Educ., 29, 337 (1953)

Similar considerations apply to the derivations of the equations for the dielectric constant effect upon the specific velocity constant of reactions between ions and dipoles and between dipoles and dipoles (6).

6. Amis, Edward S., J. Chem. Educ., 30, 351 (1953)

In the equations for the different charge type combinations of reactants it proves permissible to account for the effect upon relation rates of electrostatic forces by taking into account the charge signs of the ions and to regard the moments of dipoles as having only magnitude and no sign. This seems hard to visualize since although the overall charge on a dipole is zero and its sign neither positive nor negative, yet there are positive and negative centers of charge in a dipole and it seems reasonable that an ion would approach a dipole from an electrostatically favorable direction, that is, a positive ion would preferentially approach the negative end of a dipole and a negative ion would preferentially be attracted to positive end of a dipole.

The equation derived by Amis (6) for the effect of the dielectric constant of the medium upon reactions between ions and molecules is

$$\ln k'_{D} = D = \ln k'_{D} = + \frac{Z \in A}{DkTrZ}. \qquad (23)$$

The predictions of this equation, considering only the magnitude of the dipole moment, A, of the molecular reactant, has been found to agree with data in the case of the reactions of the positive hydrogen-ion with sucrose (6), the negative

hydroxide ion with diacetone alcohol (6), the negative hydroxide ion with methyl propionate (7), the positive hydrogen ion with methyl propionate (8), the positive

- 7. Quinlan, John E., and Amis, Edward S., Data not yet presented for publication.
- 8. Hockersmith, James Lee, and Amis, Edward S., Anal. Chim. Acta, 9, 101 (1955).

hydrogen ion with ethyl acetate (9) and the negative

9. Nair, P. Madhavan and Amis, Edward S., Anal. Chim. Acta 9, 111 (1953)

thiosulfate ion reacting with ethyl bromomalonate (10).

10. Breach, Wilson J., and Amar, Edward S., accepted for publication in J. Cham. Phys.

The mates of the reactions involving the positive ionic reactants decrease with increasing dielectric constant while the rates of the reactions in which negative ionic reactants occur increase with increasing dielectric constant of the media. Furthermore the plots of log k[†]D=D versus 1/D are straight lines, the magnitudes of the slopes of which, when their signs and the charge sign of the ions are accounted for, yield reasonable melecular dimensions for the parameter r, which is supposedly the distance of approach of reactants for reaction to occur.

Electristatically the above phenomena might be explained as follows. A positive ion tends to approach

the negative end of a molecule. There is an attractive force operative which is diminished by an increase of the dielectric constant. Thus the rate of the reaction decreases as the dielectric constant increases. Apparently the cutton reactant tends to approach the dipolar reactant from an electrostatically favorable direction. case of the negative ionic reactant, if the ease of approach of the ion to the molecule is the factor which governs the electristatic influence on the reaction rate, the approach of the ion to the dipolar molecule would have to be electristatically unfavorable for the rate to increase with increase of the dielectric constant. Because whether favorable or unfavorable the electrostatte force which determines the ease of approach of two electric charges, would decrease with increase of dielectric constant, and a decreased influence of an unfavorable approach only could account for an increase of rate.

Let us now consider the reaction of a dipolar molecule with a dipolar molecule. Laidler and Eyring (11)

ll. Laidler, k. J., and Eyring, Hanry, "The Theory of Rate Processes," McGraw-Hill Back Company, New York, 1941, p. 442.

summerize the dielectric constant effect upon such reactions by indicating an increase of reaction rate with increasing dielectric constant. Their equation which gives the influence of dielectric constant upon the reaction rate for dipolar molecule-dipolar molecule reactions is (12)

must be an unfavorable one and the dipolar molecule reactants must approach each other from an electrostatically unfavorable direction if approach is the controlling electrostatic effect.

Also in the Amis equation (6) for dipolar moleculedipolar molecule reactions, namely,

$$\ln k'_{D=D} = \ln k'_{D} = -\frac{21^{1/2}}{Dk \text{ Tr}^{3}}$$
 (25)

the electrostatic term is preceded by a negative sign and must decrease in absolute values as D increases for In $k^{\dagger}_{D=D}$ to increase with increasing D. Thus again the electrostatic effect is unfavorable and the dipolar reactants must approach each other from an electrostatically unfavorable direction if approach is the governing electrostatic effect.